Acting Chief. Administrative Staff

25 October 1(6)

Chief Ceographie Research

Comments on the Inspector Ceneral's Servey of the CIA Fraining Program, August 1960

- 1. It's too bed that the Inspector General's team investigating training in CIA has not been able to rise to a level of objectivity in examining the ID/I training requirements vs. the training programs offered by the Office of Training. The report seems evidence enough to conclude that the IG's team has been thoroughly indoctrinated and convinced that the only answer to training for all Agency personnel is through the JOT Program. Actually, it appears that they are striving to ved JOT training with all Agency recruitment to satisfy all Agency requirements for professional officers.
- 2. Junior Officer Trainess have not been recruited to meet the professional requirements of the Geographic Area. One of the areas of misunderstanding no doubt relates to the emerciae of judgment in the initial recruitment of a substantively competent individual. If for one and I believe others in the ED/I would be extracely reductant to delegate this responsibility to professional recruitment or any team affort where there is a lack of substantive background for cracking in all of the judgments involved in the final selection of personnel. I believe that recruitment under the control of the EJEP system would inevitably become attractived by its concentration on the bright young "generalist" who seems to have transmitus program is the Area would fail miserably if we had to rely upon general intelligence officers. The administration in ORR is best equipped at the memorial select the people it meeds to carry on its substantive program.
- 3. The Inspector General's report, in criticizing Offi's approach to meet its recruitment and training requirements, sales reference to earlier attempts to classify the economist, geographer, and sedentist cutside the profession of intelligence. Out of this is created an important the DD/I to determine whether to develop the specialist intelligence officer with or without training is intelligence. There seems to be a need for a real effort to clarify what is meant by the profession of intelligence as it applies to various parts of the Agency. All particulated to come to an understanding that the profession of intelligence in the DD/I is quite different from that of the DD/P or the DD/I. One possible counter-proposal to the EG's recommendation is that requitions.

Approved For Release 2001/07/28 : CIA+10P63-00314R000100020030-8

SUBJECT: Comments on the Inspector Ceneral's Survey of the CIA Training Program, August 1960

continue to be done cutside of the JOHP and that, once professional officers are employed, they be sent immediately to an intelligence school of several months' duration for the purpose of indectrination in the intelligence organizations and processes of the entire community with special attention given to CLA. This would be an enlargement of the three-week Intelligence Orientation Course which is now given. This might eliminate the carrying over the fact that ORR is not in the same training mold with the ED/P, but is interested in developing its own kind of professional intelligence officer.

of the illusions of the IC's team in dealing with DD/I training. In the pattern of training that is summarized in this paragraph for the Clandestine Services, they are more than willing to lump in the DD/I as a way of meeting DD/I training moets. This suggests a deep scatzed conviction that all real intelligence effort takes place in the DD/I and that any other effort can only be seen through those eyes. If the Inspector General's team had spont as much time smallysing the training problems of the DD/I as they obviously did for the DD/F classes, the report would probably have reflected this and their requirements would have been more consistent with ID/I needs than with ID/P precedent.

25X1A9a

Distribution:

O&4 -- Addressee

2 -- Ch/G

ORR:Ch/G:JAB:jmc/4797(25 Oct 1960)